2014 Paper 3

2014.3.1	Question 1																		105
2014.3.2	Question 2																		107
2014.3.3	Question 3																		108
2014.3.4	Question 4																		109
2014.3.5	Question 5																		111
2014.3.6	Question 6																		113
2014.3.7	Question 7																		114
2014.3.8	Question 8																		116
2014.3.12	Question 12																		118
2014.3.13	Question 13																		120

Eason Shao Page 104 of 430

2014.3 Question 1

Notice that

$$(1+ax)(1+bx)(1+cx) = 1 + (a+b+c)x + (ab+ac+bc)x^2 + abcx^3,$$

and by comparing coefficients we have

$$q = bc + ca + ab, r = abc$$

1. Using the identities for the logarithms, we have

$$\begin{split} \ln(1+qx^2+rx^3) &= \ln(1+ax) + \ln(1+bx) + \ln(1+cx) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(ax)^k}{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(bx)^k}{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(cx)^k}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} x^k \frac{a^k + b^k + c^k}{k}, \end{split}$$

and hence

$$S_k = \frac{a^k + b^k + c^k}{k},$$

as desired.

2. Since

$$S_2 = \frac{a^2 + b^2 + c^2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(a+b+c)^2 - 2(ab+bc+ca)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{0^2 - 2q}{2}$$

$$= -a.$$

$$S_3 = \frac{a^3 + b^3 + c^3}{3}$$

$$= \frac{(a+b+c)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - bc - ca) + 3abc}{3}$$

$$= abc$$

$$= r,$$

and

$$\begin{split} S_5 &= \frac{a^5 + b^5 + c^5}{5} \\ &= \frac{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)(a^3 + b^3 + c^3) - a^2b^2(a+b) - a^2c^2(a+c) - b^2c^2(b+c)}{5} \\ &= \frac{(-2q)(3r) + a^2b^2c + b^2c^2a + a^2c^2b}{5} \\ &= \frac{-6qr + abc(ab + bc + ac)}{5} \\ &= \frac{-6qr + qr}{5} \\ &= -qr. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $S_2S_3 = S_5$ as desired.

Eason Shao Page 105 of 430

3. Notice that

$$\begin{split} S_7 &= \frac{a^7 + b^7 + c^7}{7} \\ &= \frac{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)(a^5 + b^5 + c^5)}{7} \\ &= \frac{(-2q) \cdot (-5qr) - a^2b^2(a^3 + b^3) - b^2c^2(b^3 + c^3) - a^2c^2(a^3 + c^3)}{7} \\ &= \frac{10q^2r - a^2b^2(3r - c^3) - b^2c^2(3r - a^3) - a^2c^2(3r - b^3)}{7} \\ &= \frac{10q^2r - 3r(a^2b^2 + b^2c^2 + a^2c^2) + a^2b^2c^2(a + b + c)}{7} \\ &= \frac{10q^2r - 3r\left[(ab + bc + ac)^2 - 2abc(a + b + c)\right] + r^2 \cdot 0}{7} \\ &= \frac{10q^2r - 3q^2r}{7} \\ &= q^2r. \end{split}$$

Also, $S_2S_5 = (-q) \cdot (-qr) = q^2r$, so $S_2S_5 = S_7$ as desired.

4. Let a = 1, b = 1, c = -2. q = bc + ca + ab = -3, r = -2. This means $S_2 = -q = 3, S_7 = q^2r = -18$. Notice that $S_9 = \frac{a^9 + b^9 + c^9}{7} = \frac{1^9 + 1^9 + (-2)^9}{9} = -\frac{510}{9} = -\frac{170}{3},$

and this is obviously not S_2S_7 which gives a counterexample and the original statement is not true.

Eason Shao Page 106 of 430

2014.3 Question 2

1. Since $u = \cosh x$, $\cosh 2x = 2\cosh^2 x - 1 = 2u^2 - 1$, and $\sinh x \, dx = d\cosh x = du$. Hence,

$$\int \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh 2x} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{2u^2 - 1}$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}u - 1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}u + 1} \right) \, \mathrm{d}u$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\ln \left| \sqrt{2}u - 1 \right| - \ln \left| \sqrt{2}u + 1 \right| \right) + C$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{2}\cosh x - 1}{\sqrt{2}\cosh x + 1} \right| + C,$$

as desired.

2. Let $u = \sinh x$, $\cosh 2x = 1 + 2\sinh^2 x = 1 + 2u^2$, and $\cosh x \, dx = d\sinh x = du$. Hence,

$$\int \frac{\cosh x}{\cosh 2x} dx = \int \frac{du}{1 + 2u^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \arctan()\sqrt{2}u) + C$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \arctan()\sqrt{2}\sinh x) + C.$$

3. Notice that

$$\frac{\cosh x}{\cosh 2x} - \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh 2x} = \frac{2e^{-x}}{e^{2x} + e^{-2x}} = \frac{2e^x}{1 + e^{4x}}.$$

Let $u = e^x$, $du = de^x = e^x dx$, and therefore

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{du}{1+u^{4}} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{e^{x} dx}{1+x^{4}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{\cosh x}{\cosh 2x} - \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh 2x} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \arctan(\sqrt{2} \sinh x) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{2} \cosh x - 1}{\sqrt{2} \cosh x + 1} \right| \right]_{-\infty}^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left[2 \arctan(\sqrt{2} \sinh x) - \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{2} \cosh x - 1}{\sqrt{2} \cosh x + 1} \right| \right]_{-\infty}^{0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(0 - \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{\sqrt{2} + 1} \right| \right) - \left(2 \cdot (-\frac{\pi}{2}) - \ln|1| \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \left[\pi - 2 \ln(\sqrt{2} - 1) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\pi + 2 \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1)}{4\sqrt{2}},$$

as desired.

Eason Shao Page 107 of 430

2014.3 Question 3

1. Consider the point on the curve whose gradient is equal to m. Since on the curve, $x = \frac{y^2}{4a}$, and hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}y} = \frac{y}{2a} = \frac{1}{m},$$

which solves to $y_0 = \frac{2a}{m}$, and hence $x_0 = \frac{a}{m^2}$, the tangent to this point is $y = mx + \frac{a}{m}$.

If $\frac{a}{m} < c$ and mc > a, this means that the line y = mx + c is above the tangent. Let $\theta = \arctan m$, and we know the perpendicular distance between these lines will be

$$\left(c - \frac{a}{m}\right) \cdot \cos \theta = \left(c - \frac{a}{m}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2 + 1}} = \frac{cm - a}{m\sqrt{m^2 + 1}}.$$

If $\frac{a}{m} \ge c$ and $mc \le a$, this means that the line y = mx + c is the tangent (in the equal case) or below the tangent (in the less-than case), which both means the line y = mx + c intersects with the parabola.

Hence, when mc < a, the shortest distance is always 0.

2. The distance d between (p,0) and $(at^2,2at)$ can be expressed as

$$d^{2} = (at^{2} - p)^{2} + (2at)^{2}$$

$$= a^{2}t^{4} - 2apt^{2} + p^{2} + 4a^{2}t^{2}$$

$$= a^{2}t^{4} + 2a(2a - p)t^{2} + p^{2}.$$

We would like to minimise $d \ge 0$, which is the same as minimising d^2 .

The minimum of the quadratic function

$$f(x) = a^2x^2 + 2a(2a - p)x + p^2$$

occurs when

$$x = -\frac{2a(2a-p)}{2 \cdot a^2} = \frac{p-2a}{a} = \frac{p}{a} - 2.$$

However, $d^2 = f(t^2)$ and t^2 can only be non-negative.

If $\frac{p}{a}-2\geq 0, \frac{p}{a}\geq 2$, then this value can be taken, and the minimum will be

$$d^{2} = \frac{4a^{2}p^{2} - \left[2a(2a - p)\right]^{2}}{4a^{2}} = p^{2} - (2a - p)^{2} = -4a^{2} + 4ap = 4a(p - a)$$

and the minimal d will be

$$d = 2\sqrt{a(p-a)}.$$

In the other case where $\frac{p}{a} < 2$, to let the t^2 value to be as close as possible to the symmetric axis, we would like $t^2 = 0$, at which point the minimal distance will be

$$d^2 = f(0) = p^2$$

and the minimal d will be

$$d = p$$
.

The circle described is simply a circle centred at (p,0) with radius b. Therefore, the shortest distance will be d-b if d>b, and 0 otherwise.

To put this into cases,

- If $p \ge 2a$, $d = 2\sqrt{a(p-a)}$.
 - If $2\sqrt{a(p-a)} > b$, i.e. $b^2 < 4a(p-a)$, the shortest distance is $2\sqrt{a(p-a)} b$.
 - Otherwise, $2\sqrt{a(p-a)} \le b$, i.e. $b^2 \ge 4a(p-a)$, the shortest distance is 0.
- Otherwise, p < 2a, d = p.
 - If p > b, the shortest distance is p b.
 - Otherwise, $p \leq b$, the shortest distance is 0.

Eason Shao Page 108 of 430

2014.3 Question 4

1. We have

$$I - I_0 = \int_0^1 \left[(y')^2 - y^2 - (y' + y \tan x)^2 \right] dx$$

$$= -\int_0^1 \left[y^2 + y^2 \tan^2 x + 2yy' \tan x \right] dx$$

$$= -\int_0^1 \left[y^2 (1 + \tan^2 x) + 2yy' \tan x \right] dx$$

$$= -\int_0^1 \left(y^2 \cdot \sec^2 x + 2y \cdot y' \cdot \tan x \right) dx.$$

But notice that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}y^2 \tan x = y^2 \cdot \sec^2 x + 2y \cdot y' \cdot \tan x,$$

and hence

$$I - I_0 = -\int_0^1 (y^2 \cdot \sec^2 x + 2y \cdot y' \cdot \tan x) dx$$

$$= -[y^2 \tan x]_0^1$$

$$= -(y(1)^2 \tan 1 - 0^2 \tan 0)$$

$$= -(0^2 \tan 1 - 0)$$

$$= 0,$$

as desired.

This gives $I = I_1$. Also, notice that the integrand of I_1 is $(y' + y \tan x)^2$ is always non-negative, which means $I_1 \ge 0$, taking 0 only when $y' + y \tan x = 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)$.

$$y' + y \tan x = 0$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -y \tan x$$

$$\frac{dy}{y} = -\tan x dx$$

$$\ln|y| = -\ln|\sec x| + C$$

$$y = A \cos x.$$

When x = 1, y = 0, hence A = 0 since $\cos 1 \neq 0$. This means $I_1 = 0$ if and only if y = 0 for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Since $I = I_1$, we know that $I \ge 0$, with the equal sign holding if and only if y = 0 for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

2. Let

$$J_0 = \int_0^1 (y' + ay \tan bx)^2 dx,$$

and we have

$$J - J_0 = \int_0^1 \left[((y')^2 - a^2 y^2) - (y' + ay \tan bx)^2 \right] dx$$

$$= -\int_0^1 \left[a^2 y^2 + a^2 y^2 \tan^2 bx + 2y' \cdot y \cdot a \cdot \tan bx \right] dx$$

$$= -\int_0^1 \left[a^2 y^2 \sec^2 bx + 2y' \cdot y \cdot a \cdot \tan bx \right] dx$$

$$= -a \int_0^1 \left[ay^2 \sec^2 bx + 2y' \cdot y \cdot \tan bx \right] dx.$$

Eason Shao Page 109 of 430

Notice that if we let b = a, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y^2 \tan bx}{\mathrm{d}x} = 2yy' \tan bx + by^2 \sec^2 bx = 2yy' \tan bx + ay^2 \sec^2 bx.$$

This means

$$J - J_0 = -a \int_0^1 \left[ay^2 \sec^2 bx + 2y' \cdot y \cdot \tan bx \right] dx$$

= $-a \left[y^2 \tan ax \right]_0^1$
= $-a(y(1)^2 \tan a - 0^2 \tan 0)$
= 0.

This means $J = J_0$.

Since the integrand of J_0 is a square, we know $J_0 \ge 0$ and hence $J \ge 0$.

This is only valid when $ax < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $x \in [0,1]$ (since otherwise this range will cross an undefined point), which means $a < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

When $a = \frac{\pi}{2}$, consider $y = \cos ax$. Notice that $y' = -a\sin ax$, and therefore

$$J = \int_0^1 ((-a\sin ax)^2 - a^2\cos^2 ax) \, dx$$

$$= -a^2 \int_0^1 (\cos^2 ax - \sin^2 ax) \, dx$$

$$= -a^2 \int_0^1 \cos(2ax) \, dx$$

$$= -a^2 \left[\frac{\sin 2ax}{2a} \right]_0^1$$

$$= -\frac{a}{2} \left[\sin \pi x \right]_0^1$$

$$= -\frac{a}{2} (0 - 0)$$

$$= 0.$$

but y is not uniformly zero.

Eason Shao Page 110 of 430

2014.3 Question 5

ABCD is a parallelogram if and only if AB is parallel and equal to DC. This is true if and only if,

$$\overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{DC}$$
.

and using complex representation (which is also equivalent)

$$b - a = c - d$$
.

This is equivalent to

$$a + c = b + d$$

so we are done.

In this case, ABCD is further a square if and only if it is both a rhombus and a rectangle. It is a rhombus if and only if the two diagonals, AC and BD, are perpendicular to each other, and a rectangle if and only if the two diagonals, AC and BD, have equal length.

This is equivalent to \overrightarrow{BD} being \overrightarrow{AC} rotated 90 degrees anti-clockwise exactly (due to the labelling as defined), and using complex representation (which is equivalent)

$$i(c-a) = (d-b).$$

Flipping the signs on both sides (which is reversible) gives

$$i(a-c) = (b-d)$$

as desired.

1. X is the centre of the square constructed externally along the edge PQ if and only if \overrightarrow{PX} is \overrightarrow{PQ} rotated clockwise by 45 degrees and scaled down by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. In complex notation, this is equivalent to

$$x - p = (q - p) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}.$$

But notice that $e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} = \cos\frac{\pi}{4} - i\sin\frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i)$, and hence this equation is equivalent to

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(q-p)(1-i) + p = \frac{(1+i)p + (1-i)q}{2},$$

as desired.

2. Similarly, we have

$$y = \frac{(1+i)q + (1-i)r}{2},$$

$$z = \frac{(1+i)r + (1-i)s}{2},$$

$$t = \frac{(1+i)s + (1-i)t}{2}.$$

XYZT is a square, if and only if

$$x + z = y + t$$

and

$$i(x-z) = y - t.$$

For the first one, this is equivalent to

$$(1+i)p + (1-i)q + (1+i)r + (1-i)s = (1-i)p + (1+i)q + (1-i)r + (1+i)s$$

which is equivalent to

$$p + r = q + s,$$

which is equivalent to PQRS being a parallelogram.

Eason Shao Page 111 of 430

For the second one, this is equivalent to

$$i \cdot ((1+i)p + (1-i)q - (1+i)r - (1-i)s) = -(1-i)p + (1+i)q + (1-i)r - (1+i)s,$$

which is equivalent to

$$-(1+i)p + (1+i)q + (1-i)r - (1+i)s = -(1-i)p + (1+i)q + (1-i)r - (1+i)s,$$

which is trivially true.

This shows that XYZT being square is equivalent to PQRS being a parallelogram as desired.

Eason Shao Page 112 of 430

2014.3 Question 6

Since f''(t) > 0 for $t \in (0, x_0)$, we must have that for all $x \in (0, x_0)$, we have f''(t) > 0 for $t \in (0, x)$, and hence

 $\int_0^x f''(t) dt = f'(x) - f'(0) > 0.$

But since f'(0) = 0, this implies that f'(x) > 0 for $x \in (0, x_0)$.

Repeating this exact step gives that f(x) > 0 for $x \in (0, x_0)$ as desired.

1. We would like to show $f(x) = 1 - \cos x \cosh x > 0$ for $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$. Notice that $f(0) = 1 - 1 \cdot 1 = 0$, and

$$f'(x) = \sin x \cosh x - \cos x \sinh x$$
,

which means

$$f'(0) = 0 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Further differentiation gives

 $f''(x) = \cos x \cosh x + \sin x \sinh x + \sin x \sinh x - \cos x \cosh x = 2\sin x \sinh x.$

If $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we have $\sin x > 0$ and $\sinh x > 0$, which gives f''(x) > 0.

From the lemma we proved we have f(x) > 0 for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, which is exactly $\cos x \cosh x < 1$ as desired.

2. What is desired is to show $\sin x \cosh x - x > 0$ and $x^2 - \sin x \sinh x > 0$ for $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Let $g(x) = \sin x \cosh x - x$ and $h(x) = x^2 - \sin x \sinh x$. $g(0) = 0 \cdot 1 - 0 = 0$ and $h(0) = 0^2 - 0 \cdot 0 = 0$.

Differentiating gives

$$g'(x) = \cos x \cosh x + \sin x \sinh x - 1,$$

and

$$h'(x) = 2x - \cos x \sinh x - \sin x \cosh x.$$

Hence,

$$g'(0) = 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 0 - 1 = 0,$$

and

$$h'(0) = 2 \cdot 0 - 1 \cdot 0 - 0 \cdot 1 = 0.$$

Differentiating this again gives

$$g''(x) = -\sin x \cosh x + \cos x \sinh x + \cos x \sinh x + \sin x \cosh x = 2\cos x \sinh x,$$

and

$$h''(x) = 2 + \sin x \sinh x - \cos x \cosh x - \cos x \cosh x - \sin x \sinh x = 2 - 2\cos x \cosh x.$$

For $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we notice that $\cos x > 0$ and $\sinh x > 0$, and so g''(x) > 0. Also, notice that h''(x) = 2f(x) so h''(x) > 0.

Hence, g(x) > 0, h(x) > 0 when $x \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ which proves the result as desired.

Eason Shao Page 113 of 430

2014.3 Question 7

1. Since P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 are cyclic, they must satisfy that $\angle P_1 P_2 P_4 = \angle P_1 P_3 P_4$, which means $\angle P_1 P_2 Q = \angle Q P_3 P_4$. Also, we must have $\angle P_1 Q P_2 = \angle P_3 Q P_4$.

This means that $\triangle P_1 Q P_2 \sim \angle P_4 Q P_3$ (in this order). Therefore, the ratio of the side lengths satisfy that

$$\frac{P_1Q}{QP_2} = \frac{P_4Q}{QP_3},$$

and hence

$$P_1Q \cdot QP_3 = P_2Q \cdot QP_4$$

as desired.

2. Since Q is the intersection of P_1P_3 and P_2P_4 , Q is on P_1P_3 , and hence the position vector of Q, \mathbf{q} can be expressed as a convex combination of \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_3 , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{q} = b_1 \mathbf{p}_1 + b_3 \mathbf{p}_3$$

where $b_1 + b_3 = 1$.

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{q} = b_2 \mathbf{p}_2 + b_4 \mathbf{p}_4$$

where $b_2 + b_4 = 1$.

Hence

$$b_1\mathbf{p}_1 - b_2\mathbf{p}_2 + b_3\mathbf{p}_3 - b_4\mathbf{p}_4 = \mathbf{0}$$

Let $a_1 = b_1, a_2 = -b_2, a_3 = b_3, a_4 = -b_4$, and we must have $\sum_{i=1}^4 a_i = 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^4 a_i \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{0}$. Since $b_1 + b_3 = 1$ they must not be both zero, and hence a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are not all zero.

3. If we have $a_1 + a_3 = 0$, we must also have $a_2 + a_4 = 0$. Let $a_1 = \lambda$, $a_2 = \mu$, $a_3 = -\lambda$, $a_4 = -\mu$, we have

$$\lambda(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_3) = \mu(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_4).$$

But since P_1P_3 and P_2P_4 intersect at one point, this means they must not be parallel, and hence one of λ and μ must be zero. But if one of them is zero the other one has to be as well, which means all of a_i are zero, which contradicts with given.

Still, let $b_1 = a_1, b_2 = -a_2, b_3 = a_3, b_4 = -a_4$. From given, we must have $b_1 + b_3 = b_2 + b_4 = T$. By rearrangement of the given vector equation, we have

$$b_1 \mathbf{p}_1 + b_3 \mathbf{p}_3 = b_2 \mathbf{p}_2 + b_4 \mathbf{p}_4.$$

If we divide both sides by T, we have

$$\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_3} \mathbf{p}_1 + \frac{b_3}{b_1 + b_3} \mathbf{p}_3 = \frac{b_2}{b_2 + b_4} \mathbf{p}_2 + \frac{b_4}{b_2 + b_4} \mathbf{p}_4.$$

The position vector represented on the left-hand side must be on the line P_1P_3 , and on the right-hand side must be on the line P_2P_4 . But they have a unique intersection at Q, which means both must represent the position vector of Q, which is exactly

$$\frac{a_1\mathbf{p}_1 + a_3\mathbf{p}_3}{a_1 + a_3}.$$

It must be true that $a_3: a_1 = P_1Q: QP_3$. This is because

$$\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \frac{a_3}{a_1 + a_3} (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1).$$

The magnitude of $\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1$ is the length P_1P_3 and the distance Q has 'travelled' along P_1P_3 from P_1 is $\frac{a_3}{a_1+a_3}$ of the total.

Eason Shao Page 114 of 430

This means

$$P_1Q = \frac{a_3}{a_1 + a_3} P_1 P_3, P_3 Q = \frac{a_1}{a_1 + a_3} P_1 P_3.$$

Similarly,

$$P_2Q = \frac{a_4}{a_2 + a_4} P_2 P_4, P_4Q = \frac{a_2}{a_2 + a_4} P_2 P_4.$$

From the first part of the question we have

$$\frac{a_1 a_3}{(a_1 + a_3)^2} (P_1 P_3)^2 = \frac{a_2 a_4}{(a_2 + a_4)^2} (P_2 P_4)^2.$$

But since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 0$, $a_1 + a_3 = -a_2 - a_4$, and hence $(a_1 + a_3)^2 = (a_2 + a_4)^2$. This means

$$a_1 a_3 (P_1 P_3)^2 = a_2 a_4 (P_2 P_4)^2,$$

as desired.

Eason Shao Page 115 of 430

2014.3 Question 8

Notice that there are $(k^{n+1}-1)-k^n+1=k^{n+1}-k^n=k^n(k-1)$ items in the summation. By the monotonic condition of the sequence in the question, we know that all the elements in the sum are greater than or equal to $f(k^n)$ and less than $f(k^{n+1})$. This immediately proves the inequality.

1. Let k=2. Since f is decreasing, we know that for all non-negative n, we have

$$2^n \cdot (2-1) \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \le \sum_{r=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{r} \le 2^n \cdot (2-1) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n},$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{2}1 \le \sum_{r=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{r} \le 1.$$

Summing this from n = 0 to n = N (which contains (N + 1) such inequalities) yields

$$\frac{N+1}{2} \le \sum_{r=1}^{2^{N+1-1}} \frac{1}{r} \le N+1,$$

as desired.

We can show that this sum can be arbitrarily big by letting $N \to \infty$, and the lower bound of the sum $\frac{N+1}{2} \to \infty$. This means the infinite sum must diverge.

2. Let k=2. Since f is decreasing, we know that for all non-negative n, we have

$$\sum_{r=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{r^3} \le 2^n \cdot (2-1) \cdot \frac{1}{(2^n)^3} = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} = \frac{1}{4^n}.$$

Summing this from n = 0 up to n = N gives

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2^{N+1}-1} \frac{1}{r^3} \le \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{4^n} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{4^N}}{1 - \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^{N+1}}\right).$$

Let $N \to \infty$, the weak inequality remains. This gives

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^3} \le \frac{4}{3} \cdot 1 = \frac{4}{3}$$

as desired.

3. Using a probabilistic argument, from the set of three-digit non-negative integers (allowing leading-zeros) $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 999\}$, each digit has a $\frac{1}{10}$ chance of being 2, and hence $\frac{9}{10}$ chance of not being 2. This means that the number of elements in this set not being 2 is equal to

$$10^3 \cdot \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n = 9^3.$$

But 0 is counted in the 9^3 as well, which is not included in S(1000). Therefore, $S(1000) = 9^3 - 1$. This method applies in general to n-digit numbers and for $S(10^n) = 9^n - 1$ as well.

Let f(i) be the *i*-th integer not having 2 in the decimal expansion in increasing order, and hence

$$S(n) = \{ f(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, f(i) < n \},$$

and

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{S(n)} \frac{1}{f(i)}.$$

Eason Shao Page 116 of 430

Let k=9. Notice that $f(9^n)=f(S(10^n)+1)=10^n$ since 10^n is must be the next number satisfying the condition. Also, since f must be increasing on the integers, we have $x\mapsto \frac{1}{f(x)}$ is decreasing on the integers, and hence, for non-negative integers n

$$\sum_{r=9^n}^{9^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{f(r)} \leq 9^n (9-1) \frac{1}{f(9^n)} = 8 \cdot \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n.$$

Summing this from n = 0 to n = N gives

$$\sigma(10^{N+1}) = \sum_{r=0}^{9^{N+1}-1} \frac{1}{f(r)} \le 8 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^n = 80 \left[1 - \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{N+1}\right] < 80.$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $10^{N+1} \ge n$, and since σ is increasing, we must have $80 > \sigma(10^{N+1}) \ge \sigma(n)$, which finishes the proof.

Eason Shao Page 117 of 430

2014.3 Question 12

1. Notice that x_m is such that

$$P(X \le x_m) = F(x_m) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

 y_m is such that

$$P(Y \le y_m) = P(e^X \le y_m) = P(X \le \ln y_m) = F(\ln y_m) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$F(x_m) = F(\ln y_m) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, $x_m = \ln y_m$, and $y_m = e^{x_m}$.

2. Notice that the cumulative distribution function G(y) of Y satisfies that

$$G(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(e^X \le y) = P(X \le \ln y) = F(\ln y).$$

Therefore, differentiating both sides w.r.t. y gives that the probability density function of Y, g(y) satisfies

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{y} f(\ln y),$$

as desired.

The mode of Y, λ must satisfy that $g'(\lambda) = 0$. By quotient rule, we have

$$g'(y) = \frac{f'(\ln y) \cdot \frac{1}{y} \cdot y - 1 \cdot f(\ln y)}{y^2} = \frac{f'(\ln y) - f(\ln y)}{y^2}.$$

Therefore, $g'(\lambda) = 0$ implies that $f'(\ln \lambda) = f(\ln \lambda)$ as desired.

3. This is because it is simply a horizontal shift of f(x) in the positive x direction by σ^2 (i.e. this is the integral of $f(x - \sigma^2)$), and this improper integral on \mathbb{R} will evaluate to the same value as integrating f(x), which is simply 1.

Expanding the exponent of the integrand gives

$$-\frac{(x-\mu-\sigma^2)^2}{2\sigma^2} = -\frac{(x-\mu)^2 + \sigma^4 - 2\sigma^2(x-\mu)}{2\sigma^2}$$
$$= -\frac{(x-\mu^2)}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 + (x-\mu).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(Y) &= \mathbf{E}(e^x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^x \cdot e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2) + x} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2) + x - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - \mu} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= e^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-\mu-\sigma)^2/(2\sigma^2)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= e^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}, \end{split}$$

as desired.

Eason Shao Page 118 of 430

4. When $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $x_m = \mu$ and therefore $y_m = e^{\mu}$. Differentiating the p.d.f. for X gives

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{-2(x-\mu)}{2\sigma^2} \cdot e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$$
$$= -\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2 \cdot \sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-(x-\mu)^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Therefore, f(x) = f'(x) when $-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2} = 1$. This is precisely when $x = \mu - \sigma^2$, which means

$$\lambda = e^{\mu - \sigma^2}$$
.

Now, since $E(Y) = e^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2}$, $y_m = e^{\mu}$, $\lambda = e^{\mu - \sigma^2}$, and $\sigma \neq 0$ so $\sigma^2 > 0$, this gives the result

$$\lambda < y_m < \mathrm{E}(Y)$$

as desired.

Eason Shao Page 119 of 430

2014.3 Question 13

1. Let this condition be C_1 . Since the game ends in the first round, the score must remain to be zero, and therefore

$$P(N = 0 \mid C_1) = 1,$$

and for all other $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $n \neq 0$,

$$P(N = n \mid C_1) = 0.$$

This means the p.g.f. for N conditional under C_1 is just simply $G(t \mid C_1) = P(N = 0 \mid C_1) \cdot t^0 = 1$.

2. Denote this condition be C_2 . Since in the first round, the game score does not change, and after the first round it is just as if this was a new game, so for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we must have

$$P(N = n \mid C_2) = P(N = n),$$

and hence

$$G(t \mid C_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n \mid C_2) \cdot x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \cdot t^n = G(t).$$

3. Denote the condition where the score is increased by 1 as C_3 . Since in the first round the game score increased by one, and after the first round it is just as if this was a new game, so for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we must have

$$P(N = n \mid C_3) = P(N = n - 1),$$

and

$$P(N = 0 \mid C_3) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$G(t \mid C_3) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n \mid C_3) \cdot x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(N = n - 1) \cdot t^n = t \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \cdot t^n = tG(t).$$

Since in the first round, one of C_1, C_2 and C_3 must happen, we must have that

$$G(t) = P(C_1) \cdot G(t \mid C_1) + P(C_2) \cdot G(t \mid C_2) + P(C_3) \cdot G(t \mid C_3) = a + bG(t) + ctG(t).$$

Hence, rearranging gives

$$(1 - b - ct)G(t) = a,$$

and hence

$$G(t) = \frac{a}{(1-b)-ct} = \frac{a/(1-b)}{1-ct/(1-b)}$$

Hence, using the infinite expansion, we have

$$G(t) = \frac{a}{1-b} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{ct}{1-b}\right)^k$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a}{1-b} \cdot \frac{c^k}{(1-b)^k} \cdot t^k$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{ac^k}{(1-b)^{k+1}} \cdot t^k.$$

But the coefficient before t^n is precisely the probability P(N=n). This means

$$P(N = n) = \frac{ac^k}{(1-b)^{k+1}},$$

as desired.

Eason Shao Page 120 of 430

4. We know that $\mu = G'(1)$. We can find that

$$G'(t) = \frac{ac}{[(1-b)-ct]^2},$$

and evaluating this at t=1 gives

$$\mu = G'(1) = \frac{ac}{(1-b-c)^2} = \frac{ac}{a^2} = \frac{c}{a}.$$

Therefore, we have $c = \mu a$

$$P(N = n) = \frac{ac^k}{(a+c)^{k+1}}$$

$$= \frac{a(\mu a)^k}{(a+\mu a)^{k+1}}$$

$$= \frac{a\mu^k a^k}{a^{k+1}(1+\mu)^{k+1}}$$

$$= \frac{\mu^k}{\mu^{k+1}},$$

as desired.

Eason Shao Page 121 of 430